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ABSTRACT
Social distancing is not always understood well by common people. The media’s inaccurate information and the minimum of the government socialization create an excessive fear for specific groups. Then, the practices of social or physical distancing go beyond its real objectives.

INTRODUCTION
As the pandemic of Covid-19 has widespread worldwide, countries respond by regulating their citizens to prevent massive contamination. Indonesia is not an exception. It has issued a Large-Scale Social Restriction policy, known as Pembatasan Sosial Bersekal Bacara (PSBB).1 Unfortunately, the socialization of the scheme was not managed very well. It, then, has triggered some misunderstanding among society. Thus, illogical cases of social distancing practices are identified in the fields.

SAMPLE CASE 1
News of tribunbanyumas.com reported a hamlet in Purbalingga regency was quarantined. The people there visited a hospitalized patient, later known to have tested positive of the virus. As the whole hamlet were people under supervision and had to stay at home, the rural government and other communities should provide them with foods and other necessities. As the village did not have any hazmat suit, the delivery became a big issue. The volunteers put the packages at the terraces of the houses in a big alert. They were like in a big horror that the viruses might jump on their bodies. Some even gave the food packages using a long pole made of the bamboo trunk to make sure they were at a safe distance (Figure 1).

SAMPLE CASE 2
News from detik.com reported that two adjacent villages in a Malang regency district closed the boundaries between them (Figure 2). The people in a town did it by making a bordering fence made of bamboo trunks, while the other constructed a wall of concrete bricks. Due to the pandemic, the two villages implemented “village distancing” by closing each other’s access. The emotional factor plays more than the logic, creating specific ridiculous measures to cut the chain of virus contamination.
The government should have made use of all units of public health centers (Puskesmas) in maintaining a functioning health information system; in this case, the procedures of social distancing. Physical distancing has indeed been proven to reduce the number of instances Covid-19 up to 13% effectively (IRR 0.87, 95% confidence interval 0.85 to 0.89; n=149 countries). However, the misunderstanding of its implementation has shown some ridiculous practices among the communities, especially in Indonesia.\textsuperscript{6}
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